Claims 1-7 are presented for examination. No amendments have been made to the claims.

The applicants respectfully submit that no new matter has been added. It is believed that

this Amendment is fully responsive to the Office Action dated May 19, 2006.

The applicants thank the Examiner for the helpful guidance provided during a telephone

discussion held on August 16, 2006. In accordance with that discussion, an English translation of

the relevant portions of JP 59-78654 is attached to this amendment.

The present invention recited in claims 1-7 define a chip mount area (42) of a flexible lead

(41) extending from a main display (4), and a chip mount area (53) of a flexible lead (51) extending

from a subdisplay (5), the chip mount areas being opposed to each other in an opening of a frame

(6). In order to solve the inherently difficult problem of arranging electronic parts facing an opening

of a frame that holds two LCD panels, claims 1-7 define a foldable electronic device wherein a

reduction in thickness of the device is realized through opposite arrangement of chip mount areas in

a frame. In particular, the chip mount areas are oppositely positioned in an opening of a frame that

holds a main display and a subdisplay so that groups of electronic chips mounted on the chip mount

areas are also oppositely positioned and, additionally, in a meshed and staggered relationship. Due to

the meshed and staggered arrangement of the electronic chips, the two chip mount areas can be

-2-

oppositely arranged and can fit in an opening of the frame which also holds the main display and subdisplay.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kweon, et al. in view of the cited prior art document JP 59-78654.

Kweon discloses a folder-type mobile communication terminal having double-sided LCD. The Office Action asserts that Kweon teaches a frame provided inside a closure which secures a main display and a subdisplay as arranged "back to back" with chip mount areas being opposed to each other in an opening formed in a frame with the opposed surfaces of the respective chip mount areas having groups of electronic chips mounted thereon, and cites as support Fig. 3 (24) (frame), Fig. 5 (21, 22, 24) (frame inside a closure), Fig. 1-3 (main and subdisplay arrangement), and Fig. 3 (27,28), Fig. 5 (opposed surfaces of chip mount areas). The Office Action concedes that Kweon fails to disclose groups of electronic circuit chips positioned in a staggered relation to each other, and cites JP 59-78654 for the disclosure. JP 59-78654 teaches a printed circuit board wherein a printed wiring board is curved concavely and convexly, having circuit components mounted on both concave and convex areas of the printed wiring board. In particular, the Office Action refers to Fig. 3 as describing a staggered relationship between groups of electronic circuit chips.

Kweon and JP 59-78654 fail to teach the present invention recited in claims 1-7 because no motivation exists to combine the references given that by design Kweon specifically teaches LCD panels separated by a light guide plate. Contrary to the Office Action's assertion. Kweon fails to

Reply to OA dated May 19, 2006

teach or suggest chip mount areas positioned directly next to each other in an opening of a frame.

According to Figs. 3 and 5 of Kweon, the chip mount areas (27, 28) are clearly separated by a light

guide plate 23: "Two LCD panels 27 and 28 of inside and outside of the flip are symmetrically

equipped with the backlight in the center." (Kweon, col.3, lines 15-17). The light guide plate 23,

which provides light to the LCD panels (27, 28) are necessary to the operation of the invention of

Kweon, (Kweon, col.2, lines 12-17, col. 3, lines 17-25). In other words, in Kweon, the chip mount

areas (27, 28) are necessarily separated, and not positioned directly next to each other in an opening

of a frame, as in the present invention. Given that Kweon's disclosure centers around two LCD

panels separated by a shared backlight, no motivation exists to combine this reference with JP 59-78654 which would require the chip mount areas to be oppositely positioned with no separation in

order to achieve a meshed and staggered arrangement of oppositely facing electronic chips.

Furthermore, JP 59-78654 fails to disclose any opposed relationship of parts in an opening of

a frame. In the claimed invention, however, a reduction in thickness of a device is achieved by

oppositely arranging components in an opening of a frame.

Kweon and JP 59-78654 fail to teach the present invention recited in claims 1-7 because in

combination, the references fail to teach chip mount areas oppositely positioned directly next to each

other so that the opposite positioning results in a meshed and staggered relationship between groups

of electronic chips mounted thereon, thereby achieving a reduction in thickness of the chip mount

areas located in the frame

The previous Office Action cited Antila (U.S. Patent No. 6,583,770) for the disclosure of a

-4-

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/646,922 Amendment filed November 20, 2006

Reply to OA dated May 19, 2006

frame holding a main display and subdisplay oriented mounted back to back, and pointed to Fig. 3

(17, D1, D2) and col.5, lines 62-63 as support. However, as the applicants discussed in the

amendment filed on February 8, 2006, Antila discloses an electronic folding device having a two-

sided display in which the thickness of the display construction is reduced by using a component

common to both sides of the display. That is, Fig. 3 of Antila does not teach two displays located in

a frame. As for Fig. 2 of \boldsymbol{Antila} , the device described therein discloses chip mount areas ($\boldsymbol{Dr'}, \boldsymbol{Dr''}$)

positioned back to back, not back-to-back arrangement of a main display and a subdisplay. In the

claimed invention, however, the main display (4) and the subdisplay (5) are arranged back to back,

rather than the two chip mount areas (42, 53). In addition, Antila fails to disclose chip mount areas

positioned in an opening formed in a frame that holds a main display and a subdisplay. Therefore,

Antila fails to teach or suggest the claimed invention as well.

For the reasons discussed above, it is respectfully requested that this rejection be

reconsidered and withdrawn.

If, for any reason, it is felt that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact the applicants undersigned attorney at the telephone number

indicated below to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

In the event that this paper is not timely filed, the applicants respectfully petition for an

appropriate extension of time. Please charge any fees for such an extension of time and any other

-5-

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/646,922 Amendment filed November 20, 2006 Reply to OA dated May 19, 2006

fees which may be due with respect to this paper, to Deposit Account No. 01-2340.

Respectfully submitted,

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP James E. Armstrong, III/ James E. Armstrong, III Attorney for Applicant Reg. No. 18,366

JEA/gia Atty. Docket No. **031057** Suite 1000 1725 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 659-2930

23850
PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

Enclosures:

Q:\FLOATERS\GAN\03\031057\031057_amendment_101906

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/646,922 Amendment filed November 20, 2006 Reply to OA dated May 19, 2006

(Partial translation) Japanese Unexamined Laid-open Utility Model Publication No. S59-78654

(Page 1, line 17- page 3, line 9)

3. Detail description of the invention

This invention relates to a component mounting board for electronics devices, etc., capable of enhancing the spatial packaging density in mounting electronics circuit components in high density.

As a conventional component mounting board, as shown in Fig. 1, a board in which discrete components such as chips, e.g., ICs, or Hybrid ICs (hereinafter referred to as "HIC") and chemical capacitors are mounted on a hard resin board made of, e.g., paper-phenol, glass, or epoxy resin has been used. In Fig. 1, (1) denotes a resin board made of, e.g., paper-phenol, glass, or epoxy resin, and (2) to (6) denote circuit components such as chemical capacitors, ICs, coils, HICs, or chip components mounted on the board (1).

As shown in Fig. 1, the circuit components (2)(3)(4)(5)(6) mounted on the resin board (1) are different in shape and size, and therefore the spatial packaging density cannot be increased so much. Furthermore, in the case of using a plurality of component mounting boards, as shown in Fig. 2, a method in which adjacent boards are combined with the concave-convex portions of components on the adjacent boards arranged reversely to improve the spatial packaging density has been employed. In this case, however, since the component placement cannot be determined until the concave-convex portions of the adjacent boards are specified, there are such drawbacks that the boards to be combined should be designed simultaneously and that it becomes difficult to utilize the space using the concave-convex portions if the component positional change is difficult due to the circuit structure, resulting in a failure of highly increased spatial packaging density.

This invention was made to overcome the aforementioned conventional drawbacks, and aims to provide a component mounting board enhanced in spatial packaging density by bending a circuit board for mounting circuit components in a concave-convex manner and mounting circuit components on the circuit board such that heights of circuit components mounted on concave portions of the circuit board are higher than heights of circuit components mounted on convex portions.

(Page 5, lines 12-16)

4. Brief description of drawings

Fig. 1 is a side view showing an example of a conventional component mounting board. Fig. 2 is a side view showing a structure for increasing the spatial packaging density using a plurality of conventional component mounting boards shown in Fig. 1.